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ABSTRACT
Consumers consider various factors when making purchasing decisions. In the literature, important factors that influence the purchasing decision are discussed by using product price factor. The price of the product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. In addition, brand image variables are also considered as mediators between price and consumers’ purchasing decisions and are viewed as important factors affecting the latter. The subject of this research is the consumption of counterfeit products or “replicas” of famous brands. The sample consisted of 166 people who were selected using the purposive convenience sampling technique. Data were obtained by using a questionnaire. After instrument testing and quality testing, the data were then analyzed by using regression analysis and the discriminant model. All calculations were carried out by using the SPSS 21.0. The discriminant analysis results show that brand image and price (fair price and fixed price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on purchasing decision. Multiple linear regression analyses results show that price (fixed price and relative price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on brand image.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In this dynamic era of marketing revolution and the heightened impact of marketing activities on the life of a common man, people have become more brand conscious in most of the products they purchase. Before deciding to purchase a product, customers associate it with some factors, such as quality, performance, features, and even country of origin (COO) (Tajdar et al., 2015). According to Mramba (2015), a consumer’s purchase decisions are influenced by many factors, including personal, psychological, social and cultural factors, thus making it difficult for marketers to predict how consumers buy a particular product. In relation to this, brand name is a fundamental dimension of brand equity, which is why it is often regarded as a prerequisite of consumers’ purchasing decisions (Wu, 2015).

Brand image is important because it influences consumers’ purchasing behaviors (Bian and Moutinho, 2008). Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) also concluded that brand image significantly influences purchasing decisions.

Meanwhile, the development of the fashion industry has led to the addition of aesthetic elements for each item. In this context, the higher the value of an item, the higher the public
judgment upon the buyer. For this reason, counterfeit branded products (i.e., “replicas”) like bags, shoes, clothes, and other products, have become ubiquitous in everyday life. Due to the rising popularity of such products among women and even among men, many local producers thus began to produce counterfeit goods that look almost the same as the original manufacturers' branded products (Hening, 2015).

According to Bian and Moutinho (2008), replicas are products, whose brands are identical to trademarks owned by another party, namely, the trademark holders; hence, the production of replicas is an infringement upon the rights of these trademark holders. Counterfeiting has existed for a long time and has been a serious concern for the legitimate producers since the 1970s.

Indeed, counterfeiting has become a significant economic phenomenon in the last two decades. Despite the fact that the acts of selling and manufacturing counterfeit items are considered a crime in some countries, such as in the US and the UK, recent research shows that about a third of consumers will choose to buy fake goods regardless of the potential consequences associated with counterfeiting. As the demand is always the main driver of the market, many researchers have argued that consumer demand for counterfeit goods is one of the main drivers behind the growth of this phenomenon.

Nowadays, many consumers even choose imitation products of famous brands over the original ones. According to a study, consumers are willing to buy replicas because they are cheaper than the original brand and hence offer more value (Hupman and Zaichkowsky, 1995). So that the replicas can be readily accepted by consumers, they must emulate the original brand (Xu and Yi, 2009). Meanwhile, according to Vogel and Watchravesringkan (2017), consumers evaluated consumer products that are less similar imitations of the original more positively than very similar imitations, especially when they are aware of the trend of imitation. In addition to brand image, price has a role in purchasing decision, and this finding also applies to poor and developing countries (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Price has a relative effect: some consumers are sensitive to price, whereas others do not consider the price when making a purchase decision (Sangadji and Sopiah, 2013). In addition, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that the brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions.

According to Tjiptono (2008), prices affect the image and brand positioning strategy; hence, price is an important element. Consumers tend to associate price with product levels, that is, a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one of the factors that heighten brand image. Hence, both brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions. Each variable shall be described below.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES
2.1 Brand Image
Sangadji and Sopiah (2013) describe brand image as a set of memories about a brand—be it positive or negative—in the minds of consumers. The memories of a brand may consist of the product attributes and perceived benefits to the consumers. Brand is a convenient unitary image (Tajdar et al., 2015). Bian and Moutinho (2008), Li et al. (2011), and Zhang (2015) explained that brand image is important because it influences a consumer’s decision to purchase and his/her buying behavior. Consumers typically do not have the time to obtain full knowledge about a product while making a decision; thus, consumers often rely on the brand image as an
extrinsic cue to make a purchase decision (Lin, 2007; Duranni et al., 2015; Akkucuk dan Esmaeili, 2016)

According to Marta (2016), the majority of consumers prefer to buy products/services that are branded as a brand represents a symbol of quality. Further, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that a brand significantly influences purchasing decisions. Based on the discussion above, we present our first hypothesis below.

H1. Brand image has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

2.2 Price

Price perception greatly affects a consumer's decision to purchase a product. The perception of price explains information about a product and provides a deep meaning for the consumers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Hence, price is an important factor in the purchasing decision, especially for products that are frequently purchased, and in turn, influences the choices of which store, product, and brand to patronize (Faith and Agwu, 2014). Consumers are very rational when it comes to judging what benefits they wish to get from buying products or services they pay for (Al-Mamun and Rahman, 2014).

The price of a product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. Fair price refers to the adjustment of a price that offers a combination of quality and appropriate services at a reasonable price (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Fixed price is a set price for all buyers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Relative price is the price set in accordance with the quality and service provided by the seller (Kotler and Armstrong, 2014). Research by Komaladewi and Indika (2017) indicated that most respondents consider price as an important factor influencing their purchase decisions, similar to the finding of Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) and Termsnguanwong (2015). Based on the discussion above, we present our second hypothesis below.

H2. Price has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

Although the majority of consumers are rather sensitive to price, they also consider other factors, such as brand image, store location, service, value, and quality (Tjiptono, 2008). Along the lines of the conventional saying “You get what you pay for,” many consumers use price as an indicator of quality (Lien et al., 2015). Tajdar et al. (2015) recommended that a brand must come with a reasonable price. According to Tjiptono (2008), price is an important element as it affects a brand’s image and positioning strategy. Consumers tend to associate price with product level, such that a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one factor that helps improve brand image. Based on the discussion above, we present our third hypothesis below.

H3. Price has a positive influence on brand image.

2.3 Purchase Decision

A purchase decision is an evaluation stage, in which consumer preferences towards a brand are formed. Consumers also establish the purpose of buying the most preferred brand during this stage (Setiadi, 2003). Kotler and Armstrong (2014) describe purchase decision as a buyer's decision-making stage wherein an individual decides to actually buy the product being
considered. Subsequently, Kotler and Armstrong (2014) explained that a purchase decision is the decision regarding a brand to be purchased. Similarly, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) stated that the purchase decision-making process is the stage wherein consumers actually buy the product. From the presentation of the theoretical studies and hypotheses above, we propose the research framework shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1 shows the positive effects of a variable brand image on the purchase decision variable, the variable purchase price against the decision variables, and the variable to variable price brand image.

3. RESEARCH METHODS

The subjects in this research consisted of two types of consumers: those who never used replicas and those who have purchased replicas. The populations selected as the subject of the sample were not limited, so the researchers had the freedom to select the sample. For this reason, the sample size was determined to be as many as 166 respondents. The number was obtained based on the test of significance level ($\alpha$) of 1% and the fault tolerance in the sampling (E) of 10%. To obtain a sample of respondents, we followed the Isac Miche approach. The operational process was to ask the consumers whether they were willing to be respondents.

Meanwhile, the data were obtained using a questionnaire instrument, which contained questions and responses relating to the indicators throughout the study variables. The response of each item statement on each of these variables was provided in a closed session with a 5-point scale, ranging from strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (5). For the brand image variables, these were measured by a 5-point indicator, and the price variable was divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. The instruments and research data were subjected to validity and reliability testing to ensure good quality. The instrument quality test involved 35 respondents. The validity test used the correlation matrix and the reliability test used the Cronbach’s alpha value. The indicators were considered valid if the value of the correlation was positive and greater than the critical correlation values (see Table 1) at a significance level of 5%, whereas the variable was considered reliable if its Cronbach’s alpha
value was greater than 0.60. The contents of the research questionnaire and the two quality tests are summarized in Table 1.

In this study, we used two data analysis tools: model of discriminant analysis and multiple linear regression model. In the discriminant analysis phase, we conducted simultaneous test phase (Wilks Lambda), a partial test (test OF), and tests for homogeneity, and multicollinearity. Then, in the regression analysis phase, we performed a six-criterion testing procedure including the following: T test, F test, normality test, heteroskedasticity test, multicollinearity test, and linearity test. All calculations and analysis of this study were conducted using SPSS version 21.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first stage of the analysis involved testing the validity and reliability of the instrument. The critical value of the validity of the instrument was 0.2746 (n = 35). The complete calculation results of the validity and reliability of the instruments are shown in Table 1. All the indicator variables showed that the correlation results produced greater moment than the critical value of 0.2746; furthermore, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were greater than 0.60. These prove that the indicators and variables are valid and reliable and can be used in the subsequent data analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable / Indicator</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brand Image</strong></td>
<td>BI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It has the function of the same product.</td>
<td>BI 1</td>
<td>0525</td>
<td>0803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterfeit products make me more confident.</td>
<td>BI 2</td>
<td>0694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbols have the same brand.</td>
<td>BI 3</td>
<td>0503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterfeit products have a famous brand image.</td>
<td>BI 4</td>
<td>0661</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterfeit products follow the times.</td>
<td>BI 5</td>
<td>0603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fair Price</strong></td>
<td>Fr.P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An affordable price</td>
<td>Fr.P1</td>
<td>0446</td>
<td>0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices in demand by the consumers</td>
<td>Fr.P2</td>
<td>0675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices received by all the people</td>
<td>Fr.P3</td>
<td>0362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed Price</strong></td>
<td>Fx.P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear price lists</td>
<td>Fx.P1</td>
<td>0503</td>
<td>0719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price according to the benefits provided</td>
<td>Fx.P2</td>
<td>0563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively more reasonable price</td>
<td>Fx.P3</td>
<td>0602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The same price in the market</td>
<td>Fx.P4</td>
<td>0377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative Price</strong></td>
<td>Rlv.P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 Discriminant Analysis Model

The analysis used two models: discriminant analysis and regression analysis. The first method, discriminant analysis, was used to determine the dependency of the dependent variables with the on-matrix scale; the independent variable was determined by using the metric scale. The dependent variable (purchasing decisions) was determined by using the non-scale matrix and the independent variables (brand image and price) were determined with the scale matrix. These were carried out to determine the existence of a dependency relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Next, multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to establish the positive influence of brand image on price. The complete discriminant analysis calculation results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2 shows the model discriminant analysis results. As can be seen, all variables have positive results, which are supported by the test calculation (Wilk's Lambda) and partial test (Test F) results, with a significance level of 5%. Tests showed the value of the Wilk's Lambda by 0.000>0.05, indicating that the independent variable has a simultaneous positive effect on the dependent variable. For the partial test F, results indicated that all independent variables have a value 0.000<0.05, indicating a positive result. However, one independent variable proved to be insignificant relative to price, because the coefficient beta at the variable relative price of –0.187 is negative, it means that there is a relationship in the opposite direction and this negatively affects the price relative to the purchase decision. Thus, it is necessary to repair the model by testing the three independent variables of brand image, fixed price, and fair price on the dependent variable of purchase decision. Then, after the repairs, we obtained a positive result, indicating that brand image, fair price, and fixed price all have a significant and positive influence on consumers’ purchasing decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Description</th>
<th>Rlv.</th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>Sig-F</th>
<th>Homogeneous</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More economical</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0279</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price is able to</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0560</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively cheaper</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0607</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The better the quality</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0439</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Discriminant Analysis Results
Table 3: Discriminant Analysis Results (Repaired Model)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VD</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>Wilk's Lambda</th>
<th>Sig-F</th>
<th>Homogeneous</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>r2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>0731</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0477</td>
<td>0481</td>
<td>0231</td>
<td>0619</td>
<td>0383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr.P</td>
<td>0324</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0477</td>
<td>0481</td>
<td>0231</td>
<td>0619</td>
<td>0383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fx.P</td>
<td>0279</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0477</td>
<td>0481</td>
<td>0231</td>
<td>0619</td>
<td>0383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculation results show the significance of the classical assumption. The calculation of homogeneity testing (Box's M / F test) generated a probability value greater than 0.05, whereas in the multicollinearity testing, all the coefficients of correlation between variables were \( \leq 0.60 \), indicating that there was no multicorrelation between the independent variables (brand image, fair price, and fixed price) in the discriminant model. Thus, Model I was supported empirically. Meanwhile, the partial determinant coefficient \( r^2 \) calculation results indicate that brand image was more dominant in influencing purchasing decisions than price. The coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) with a total effect was quite high (0.231).

4.2 Regression Model Analysis

Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to determine the positive influence of price on brand image. The multiple linear regression analysis results are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Table 4 shows the positive results of each variable, which are supported by value of the test calculations (F test) and partial test (T test), with a significance level of 5%. Results showed the value of the test F by \( 0.000 > 0.05 \), indicating a positive result. This means that the independent variable has a simultaneous and positive effect on the dependent variable. Next, in the partial test (T test), all independent variables showed the value \( 0.000 < 0.05 \), indicating a positive result. However, one independent variable proved to be insignificant (i.e., fair price), because the coefficient1 beta at the variable fair price of \(-0.010\) is negative, which means that there is a relationship in the opposite direction and this negatively affects the impact of fair price on brand image. Thus, it is necessary to repair the model by testing the influence of two independent variables (fixed price and relative price) on the dependent variable (brand image). After the repairs, we obtained a positive result, indicating that fair price and relative price have a significant and positive effect on brand image.

Table 4: Regression Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VD</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>Sig-F</th>
<th>Sig-t</th>
<th>Hetero</th>
<th>Nor</th>
<th>Multicol</th>
<th>Linier</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>r2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mal</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Fr.P</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fx.P</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rlv.P</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next, we show the results of the calculation of the classical assumption significance. In using the calculation of data normality test (Kolmogorov–Smirnov technique), we generated a probability value greater than 0.05, whereas the multicollinearity test (Variance Inflation Factor technique) produced a value less than 10. The heteroskedasticity test (Glejser technique) generated a probability value greater than 0.05 and the linear test (Multiplier Langrage techniques) obtained a count value c2, which was smaller than the value of c2 table (124 342). Thus, the regression model calculations show that all tests (six trials) meet the criteria and testing assumptions to indicate a good regression model. In addition, the partial determinant coefficient ($r^2$) calculation results show that the relative price is a more dominant influence on consumers’ purchasing decision than brand image. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) with a total effect is quite high (0.254).

5. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

From the results of the regression analysis, we determined that the price variables have a positive effect on brand image. This means that consumers consider price as a factor that improves brand image. In addition to strengthening the results of previous similar research, the results of the current study can help producers and manufacturers in setting prices in accordance with the products being manufactured and sold, especially when it comes to fixed price and the relative price policy. This can be done by stating the price information clearly, that is, the price is cheaper than the original brand with a relatively good quality.

Meanwhile, discriminant analysis results prove that price and brand image both have a positive influence on consumers’ purchasing decisions to buy well-known replicas, either partially or simultaneously. This finding indicates that the variables that are considered important when making consumer purchasing decisions are supported significantly. Moreover, our findings corroborate the conclusions of previous research. In order to encourage consumers to purchase replicas, manufacturers must set appropriate pricing policies and always build a positive brand image. Policies can also be implemented in relation to fair and fixed prices. Furthermore, manufacturers must create products that always follow the current trends.

The results also show that the brand image variable is an important mediating variable between price and purchasing decision. This is indicated by the dominant influence of brand image on consumers’ purchasing decision compared with price. This means that, by purchasing well-known replicas, the buyer feels more confident as the replica has the same brand symbol as the famous original product. Moreover, the buyer can obtain the product functionality, style, and various colors and motifs offered by the original product. The results of this research can help the manufacturers of these replica products consider the importance of brand image in consumer
purchasing decisions. This is because a well-regarded brand image can influence consumers positively and encourage them to buy the product being offered. Finally, as brand image is considered important, the manufacturers of original brands must always maintain the brand image, so that their products will always be superior to the replicas.
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